A Compact Cartography of City Names in Italian

Francesco-Alessio Ursini & Giuseppe Samo Central China Normal University, Wuhan & BLCU/Geneva <u>randorama@outlook.com</u> & <u>samo@blcu.edu.cn</u>

The goal of this paper is to offer a compact cartographic analysis of Urban Place Names or *urbanonyms* in Italian (David 2011). We present the data from a recent study in which we extracted urbanonyms from OpenStreetMap (https://openstreetmap.com), and built a minimal corpus of Italian urbanonyms (Samo & Ursini 2022). Building on this dataset, we analyse the morpho-syntactic distribution of names for cities (e.g. *Milano, Napoli, Roma*) and their constituting parts (e.g. *Via Nazionale, Parco Sempione, Piazza Navona*). We identify several possible structures for urbanonyms. Those include "bare" urbanonyms (cf. (1a)); Names preceded by classifiers for locations (or "generic terms" in toponomastics Blair & Tent 2015, 2021; cf. (2a)); Names including partitive-like structures (cf. (3a)) and preposition-headed structures (Poletto 2015.; cf. (4a)); Names including multiple coordinated classifiers (cf. (5a)). We thus show that Italian urbanonyms may involve structures of increasing complexity:

(1) a. <i>Milano</i>	b. [CIP $Ø_n$ [KaseP $Ø$ [DP $Ø$ [RootP Milano]]]
(2) a. Via Nazionale	b. [_{CIP} via _n [_{KaseP} Ø [_{DP} Ø [_{RootP} Milano]]]
(3) a. Via degli Scardassieri	b. [_{CIP} Ø _n [_{KaseP} de[_{DP} -gli [_{RootP} Scardassieri]]]
(4) a. Vico sul Mare	b. [CIP vicon [KaseP su[DP-1 [RootP Mare]]]
(5) a. Via e arco di S. Stefano	b. [CIP[CIP via][coord e[CIP arco]] KaseP di[DPØ [CIPS. [RootP Stefano]]]

Building on these taxonomical findings, we suggest that urbanonyms realise a nominal structure. A potentially bare root is the core complement of a nominal extended projection including D, Kase and Cl(assifier) projections. For city names, all functional projections may be unrealised (cf. *Milano* in (1b)). Generic terms project Cl heads, but other heads may remain unrealised (cf. *Via Nazionale* in (2b)), or correspond to definite determiners for D and prepositions for Kase, possibly undergoing conflation (cf. *de-gli, su-l* in (3b)–(4b)). Coordinated generic terms involve conjunctions as syncategorematic categories, i.e. heads projecting the category of their arguments (cf. *e* projecting Cl in (5b)). We thus suggest that this minimal set of functional nominal projections can offer an accurate *syntactic* cartography of Italian urbanonyms, consistent with latest findings on Italian DPs (e.g. Poletto 2015; Pinzin & Poletto 2022). We conclude by discussing general consequences for a theory of proper Names within Cartography, focusing on Cinque (2022)'s analysis of Romance DPs.

Keywords: Place Names; Urbanonyms; Cartography; Italian; Romance DP;

Selected References

Blair, David, Jan Tent (2015). 'Feature terms for Australian toponymy'. *ANPS technical paper*. *no. 2*, New South Wales: Placenames Australia.

Blair, David, Jan Tent (2021). 'A revised typology of Place Names'. Names 69(4). 1-15.

Cinque, Guglielmo (2022), 'On Two Sub-projections of the Nominal Extended Projection: Some Romance Evidence' *Probus* <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/probus-2022-0005</u>

David, Jaroslav (2011). 'Commemorative place names – Their specificity and problems' Names 59(4), 214–224.

Pinzin, Francesco, Cecilia Poletto (2022). 'Partitive Articles and Indefinites, Micro and Macrovariation' Studia Linguistica vol. 76: 1 – 12.

Poletto, Cecilia (2015). 'Word orders in the Old Italian DP' In U. Shlonsky, *Beyond Functional* Sequence [The Cartography of Syntactic Structures], Oxford & New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 109-127.

Samo, Giuseppe, Ursini, Francesco-Alessio (2022), Exploring dynamic on-line gazetteers to map variation in the syntax of Italian urbanonyms, ASIt n. 24, pp. 407-423.